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## Merry Christmas

and a happy and safe New Year and please share the festive spirit by bringing in an unwrapped gift for a child to be placed under the Barnardo's Christmas tree in the club rooms.

## An Elegant Insufficiency By Richard Hills

You and your partner have agreed to play a weak no trump and the lebensohl convention.

Matchpoint pairs, South dealer, none vul.
You, South, hold: Q Q3 93 KJ53
After you open a weak no trump, West makes an insufficient bid of 1 H . Your partner accepts the insufficient bid and bids 1 S over it. East passes,:

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | --- |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{H}!$ | 1 S | Pass | $?$ |

What call do you make? What other calls do you consider making?

[^0]
## Daytime Rankings <br> July-Sept 2006

$1=$ Jim Murray ..... 54
1= Neil Naughton ..... 54
3 Flo Gray ..... 52
4= Rasma Bandle ..... 39
4= Pat Lewis ..... 39
6 Sheila Murray ..... 36
7 Anne Baldwin ..... 32
8 Malcolm Aldons ..... 29
9= Eileen Diprose ..... 28
9= Jim Chan ..... 28
11 Nancy Hitchcock ..... 27
12= Sue Hume ..... 26
12= Colleen Perriman ..... 26
14= Colleen Price ..... 25
14= June Lomax ..... 25
16 Gillian Parsons ..... 24
17 Connie Treloar ..... 23
18 Ronnie Carlsson ..... 22
19 Inesmarie Hodgkinson ..... 20
20 Ruth Gallagher ..... 19

## End Games

## by Tim Bourke

understanding in the bidding.
West judged well to find a neutral $\$ 10$ lead here, but to no avail. Declarer won with dummy's $\boldsymbol{2} \mathrm{J}$ and cashed the ace and king of trumps, revealing that he had a trump loser. The contract seemed to depend on finessing East for $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathrm{K}$, but declarer saw an extra chance if he could remove West's mi-nor-suit cards before he conceded a trump trick. This could come about if West had exactly three clubs and at most three diamonds.

West led $\boldsymbol{\Downarrow}$ to declarer's $\boldsymbol{\text { A }}$ who then cashed A. When East discarded, the possibility of reaching dummy with the third round of trumps to take the diamond finesse evaporated. So South's problem became how to conjure a twelfth trick opposite North's bona fide Yarborough when he was trapped in hand!

As West was marked with ten cards in the maSo South cashed $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{A}$, throwing a diamond jors, the best chance to make the contract was to from hand, then $A-K$. After ruffing dummy's hope that East had $\uparrow K$ and at least five clubs. So *9, he exited with hx7 to West's 4 . As West South cashed the entire trump suit followed by had only spades remaining, he had to lead away A-K, reducing everyone to three cards.
from his $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ into declarer's $\uparrow \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Q}$, giving declarer his twelfth trick.

If West had held a singleton or doubleton diamond and three clubs the result would have been the same. No matter whether West overruffed the third diamond, on winning his trump trick he would have to lead into declarer's $\Delta \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Q}$.

Dealer South. EW Vul.

```
@74
*A9865
* K }9
&A Q J
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ¢K J 32 & 4865 \\
\hline PQ J 3 & \(\checkmark 10\) \\
\hline -Q 107 & -J 854 \\
\hline \&1095 & \%87643 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

-A Q 109

- K 742
-A 63
\& 2

| West | North | East | South | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 1 NT | 3 H | Pass | Pass | 6 S |

After the pre-empt, South might have doubled in the hope that the North and East hands were interchanged but the practical leap to slam was a reasonable precaution against any possible mis-
Dealer West. Both Vul.
. 762
$\checkmark 762$
-9 542
-872

| ¢943 | - - |
| :---: | :---: |
| マK Q J 10983 | $\bigcirc 5$ |
| -8 | -K J 10763 |
| $\pm$ Q 5 | \&J10943 |

-A K Q J 1085
$\uparrow$ A

- A Q
\& K 6
${ }^{1}$ invitational, implying 5-3-3-2 shape

As East kept two diamonds and J-10-9, he was put on play with the third round of clubs to lead away from $\uparrow$ K-J and the slam was made. Of course if East had come down to one diamond, declarer would take the best chance and lay down A, as he could no longer make the hand if East was by now void in clubs.

Dealer South. NS Vul.

|  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {J }} 83$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 972$ |  |
|  | -Q 4 |  |
|  | -A Q 74 |  |
| -64 |  | ¢1072 |
| - $\mathrm{Q}^{10}$ |  | ャJ8643 |
| -K J 9532 |  | -1076 |
| \&1098 |  | -62 |

↔K Q 95
$\bullet$ A K 5
A 8
$\star$ K J 53
endplay the defender who began with six diamonds. This time declarer's luck was in. West won $\diamond K$ but, as he had only diamonds left, now had to concede a ruff and discard on his return, allowing declarer to make his slam.

## Dealer South. EW Vul.

```
@K J 94 3
*A73
*102
&K 54
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \$2 & ¢87 \\
\hline -Q J 102 & -8654 \\
\hline -K J 75 & -9 864 \\
\hline \&Q 932 & ¢1087 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

AA Q 1065
マK 9

- A Q 3
\& J 6

left him with lite play for his contract.

Drawing three round of trumps and cashing four rounds of clubs the clubs revealed that each de- West led $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ and declarer counted eleven tricks. fender started with five cards in each black suit. At first sight it may appear that the contract deAs declarer had only eleven tricks, only an endplay would produce a twelfth trick.
pended on East holding either $\leqslant \mathrm{K}$ or $\$ \mathrm{Q}$, However, declarer saw that an extra chance was available.

One possibility was to play A-K-5, hoping that the defender who won the third round would also After winning $\uparrow K$, he drew trumps with $\uparrow A-K$ hold $\diamond K$ and then be forced to lead away from it. then played $\vee A$ and ruffed dummy’s last heart in Alas, if the heart suit were $4-3$, any reasonably hand. Next he crossed to dummy with $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and competent defender would unblock hearts when led $\$ 10$. When East played low, he played $\$ 3$ holding $\diamond K$ too, allowing his partner to win the from hand and West had to win $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ or give detrick and lead a diamond thus ensure a diamond clarer his twelfth trick immediately. Alas for him, trick for the defence. a return into a minor-suit tenace would give the contract away and a heart would see the club What if hearts were 5-2? Then playing the third loser disappear in a ruff and discard. heart would endplay the defender who had five hearts and three diamonds. This would give his partner six diamonds, making the defender with the doubleton heart a 2 to 1 favourite to hold $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ !
sing the ten of diamonds in this way allows the contract to make when West has $\quad J$ and when East has J and one of $\leqslant \mathrm{K}$ or $\& \mathrm{Q}$, some $87 \%$ of the time - a big improvement on the $76 \%$ line of So, after cashing A-K, declarer took another relying just on East having $\star \mathrm{K}$ or $\boldsymbol{*}$.
line. He cashed $\downarrow$ A and exited with $\downarrow$, hoping to

## An elegant Insufficiency from $p l$

## You, South, hold: Q63

The bidding has gone:

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| --- | --- |  | 1 NT |
| 1 H | 1 S | Pass | $?$ |

My partner sitting South (Hashmat Ali) assumed that since we had a general agreement to use Lebensohl after an overcall of 1NT (2S would be to play), Lebensohl also applied after an undercall of 1 NT , so pard passed.

The complete deal:
(Board 11 of the October Australia-Wide Pairs)

> Richard
> AK97
> A62
> AT92
> J2

Karapet the Unlucky
\& JT

- 8542
- AKJ85
- T74
- 876
-Q4
\&T3
\& 9654
Hashmat
-Q63
$-93$
-KJ53
\& AK87

Karapet the Unlucky (who was sitting West) chose to follow the advice of the best experts, who opine that at matchpoints pairs it is compulsory to balance against low- level partscores. So, Karapet now sufficiently rebid 2 H .

I completed the description of my hand with a penalty double for +800 and a top.

## Melbourne Cup At The Club

## Hats



## Food



The Serious Business


## Daytime Rankings July - September 2006

Monday
19 Flo Gray
14 Gillian Parsons

14 Rasma Bandl
12 Kleo O'Connell

## 11 Lillian Tidey

10 Barbara Crone
10 Kathleen McIntosh Jack Dwyer
10 Marie Whalan
9 Roma Kennedy
9 Sheila Murray

Tuesday

| 15 | Pat Lewis | June Lomax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Geoff Koltz | Jane Lindsay |
| 11 | Jill Cromer | Jean Wilson |
| 10 | Helen Draper | Pam Brodie |
| 9 | Betty Lewis | Carol Wilson |
| 6 | Brenda Rogers | Rena Prince |
| 6 | Madge Bayin | Lesley Childs |
| 6 | Peter Butcher | Kerry Butcher |

## Wednesday

17 Eileen Diprose
13
12
12

9 Alex Preece
8 Neil Naughton
7 Mary Tough
7 Terri Henderson

Anne Baldwin
Koi Headford
Inesmarie Hodgkinson
Elizabeth Rogoyska
Ashok Tulpule
June O'Donnell

Ruth Mackey
Ronnie Carlsson
Jim Murray

## Thursday

| 20 | Pat Lewis | Denise McClelland |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | Sheila Murray | Flo Gray |
| 14 | Jim Murray | Robin Erskine |
| 13 | Colleen Perriman | Ronnie Carlsson |
| 11 | Rasma Bandle | Ruth Landau |
| 11 | Trevor Berenger | lan Dalziell |
| 10 | Faye Layland | Norma White |
| 10 | June Lomax | Nancy Hitchcock |
| 9 | Madge Bayin | Mary Houston |

## Friday

| 12 | Neil Naughton | Jim Chan |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Harold McCormick | Lance McDougal |
| 10 | June Lomax | Carol Wilson |
| 6 | Maureen Blackmore | Pat Skinner |
| 6 | David Luck | Kaye Campbell |
| 5 | Ray Murray | Shirley Kelley |
| 5 | Neil Naughton | Patricia Sullivan |
| 5 | Betty Lewis | Nancy Hitchcock |

## Saturday

19 Ruth Gallagher Jim Murray
17 Chris Sheen Mark Sheen
10 Neil Naughton Gillian Parsons
10 Molly O'Donohue
Philip Thompson
Tom Coogan
Heather Herrick
Flo Gray
Brian Treloar
Adam Robinson

## How It Works

You are given three points for a first, two for a second and one for a third. If regular night competition players participated in the movement, they were excluded from the calculation. Day-time players playing with a competition player were also excluded from that movement. So if the movement was won by a night-time player, the day time player coming second was allocated the three points. (The two Monday sessions were combined as so many switch between movements over the period). To avoid a bias in favour of smaller movements, you needed to get a score of at least 50 per cent to pick up points.

# Adventures in Card Play 

## By Earl Dudley

Board 2 of Session 17 of the qualifying sessions of the State Open Teams event recently concluded at the Club proved to be a challenge for declarers. It features a problem that declarers often face in the course of play. If communication between declarer's hand and dummy is tricky, declarer may be able to set up the required number of winners yet be unable to cash them without setting up tricks for the defenders to cash.

With NS Vulnerable and East the dealer, the NS hands were as follows:

AKQ763
$\checkmark 86$

- AQ32
\&94
$\rightarrow A$
$\bullet$ KQ43
- J865
-QJ73
The bidding at one table was (Pass) $1 \diamond 2 \boldsymbol{A}: 2 \mathrm{NT}$ $3 N T$. The jump shift to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ was fit-showing ( 54 in the suit bid and opener's suit with 9-11 HCP). My guess is that opener would have wanted to play in 2NT but since North had a maximum for his initial response he raised to game.

The opening lead is the $\& 5$ ( $4^{\text {th }}$ highest). At all tables declarer played low from dummy at Trick 1 and East's \& 8 dislodged a club honour from declarer's hand. We will follow the subsequent (hypothetical) play in 3NT at several tables. Why not work out your preferred line of play first? If you play a diamond from hand, West will play the $\diamond K$ and if you play a heart from dummy, the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ will score.

## First Table

After winning the club, declarer now unblocked the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and led a diamond towards dummy. It was necessary to assume that the $\forall K$ was held by West or else the contract was destined to fail. West kept declarer's hopes alive by playing the -K at Trick 3.

Declarer No 1 decided to pin his hopes on East holding the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and played a heart from dummy. The defence now decided that declarer needed some assistance and East chose an inappropriate time to rise with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ in order to switch to the $\& T$. Disaster! The \& 7 was promoted to a winner and when the smoked cleared, declarer emerged with an over-trick.

## Second Table

Declarer No 2 adopted a similar line of play to the first declarer but here the East defender was more thoughtful (your author was the bunny at Table 1!) and ducked at Trick 4 allowing the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ to score. Declarer was now full of optimism. A diamond to the $\diamond$ Q followed and declarer cashed the two spade winners in dummy before leading a second heart. Declarer now had nine winners but unfortunately the spade suit divided 5-2 and so the defence were able to cash 5 tricks when East won the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ on the second lead of hearts.

## Third Table

Declarer No 3 spotted the problem with the first line of play. It required the $\vee$ A to be onside and spades to split 43 . However, if spades were 43 , then the contract will succeed even if the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ is off side. So he played to establish the $5^{\text {th }}$ spade as a winner. The cruel 52 spade split was a bitter blow.

## Fourth Table

Declarer No 4 was a resourceful and imaginative player. He analysed the play at Trick 1. The rule of 11 comes into play. Subtracting 5 (the value of the card led) from 11 reveals that East, North and South have 6 cards higher than 5. Consequently, East has two of these cards. It is certain that East does not have the $\& \mathrm{~A}$ or $\% \mathrm{~K}$ or else that card would have been played at Trick 1. This means that East started with either $\approx \mathrm{T} 82, \approx 862, \approx \mathrm{~T} 8$ or \& 86 .

If East's second club higher than the 5 is the $\% 6$, than there is only one hope for the contract. East cannot be allowed to capture the lead or else a club continuation will defeat 3NT. Declarer must
play for West to have the $\vee$ and exactly four spades.

However, if East started with the $\% T$, prospects are quite rosy. Declarer played a heart at Trick 4 ducked to the $\vee \mathrm{K}$. Declarer now played the \&Q and was rewarded when East was obliged to follow with the $\& T$. Even if East started with $\& T 86$, declarer is in full control. West cannot profitably continue clubs or hearts and a spade switch provides an extra dummy to enable declarer to repeat the heart finesse. Well done!

## Fifth Table

The expert declarer at Table 5 showed that the hand is truly simple. Why not examine the full hand and decide your line of play?

2006 State Open Teams Qualifying, Session:

## 17, Board: 2

NS Vulnerable, East Dealer

> AKQ763
$\checkmark 86$

- AQ32
\%94

| AT9852 |  | AJ4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ JT |  | - A9752 |
| - K |  | - T974 |
| \&AK652 |  | \& T 8 |
|  | $\rightarrow$ A |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ43 |  |
|  | - J865 |  |
|  | \&QJ73 |  |



Declarer won the opening lead, cashed the $\uparrow A$ and played a diamond from hand. When the $\diamond K$ appeared, declarer paused for a moment to consider if West was engaged in a bit of skulduggery in playing the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ from an original doubleton holding. Having decided that it was likely to be a singleton, declarer ducked! The communication problems between declarer's hand and dummy were now solved. West was obliged to exit with a spade and now had the entries to play hearts twice from dummy and cash the spade winners.

Note that an initial spade lead from West is likely to succeed for EW.

## New Stairlift—Award Winning Safety Design



Sit


Stand


Carry Shopping

"Perch" External Stairs

Minivator Limited, one of the world's most experienced manufacturers of stairlifts won the major Product Safety Award at the Central European Mobility Expo for its Easy Rider Spacesaver stairlift.

No sharp edges and no vertically exposed teeth.

## Why Take Any Risk?

Master Lifts 62628090

## THE GRANGE DEAKIN EXCELLENCE IN RETIREMENT

93 spacious well appointed 2 and 3 bedroom units

22 Luxury Serviced Apartments each with separate bedroom, ensuite and kitchenette


- Many community facilities including indoor heated pool \& spa and village bus
- Close to Deakin Shopping Centre and other amenities


## Inspection

10am-4pm Monday to Friday

Closed on weekends and public holidays

- 24 hour Emergency Call and Security System

For further information ph (02)

## 62852475

67 MacGregor Street
DEAKIN ACT 2600


[^0]:    See page 4

